

Senator Wehrbein, I ask this question and it seems to be in jest but it's not necessarily in jest. If I remember what you said is that at the year 2000, because we're programmed for the six digits, and I thought your explanation was good and, by the way, I'm not trying to trap you in anything 'cause you can make up anything you want and I'll have to agree with it 'cause I don't know either. But if we didn't do anything in the year 2000 it would simply be double 00 and then in the year 2001 the computers would show just the year 1. Would that be...is that correct?

SENATOR WILL: Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yeah, I think...I think that could be partially correct. There's some that could be 1900 instead of two double aught. It could be...I think there was another one, someone ran one that was 1980. I don't think it's 100 percent sure as to where it will go, the way my understanding is.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Well, I think I'd like to have a better clarification of what'll...what would happen or if just a bunch of computer people are saying what could happen but we have no real clue. An example I would give, if the example is that the computers would show 01, I think it'd be very clear to most people that had any knowledge at all that we didn't have computers in they year 01 and so that probably had to be 2001, you know, or you get the year 2 probably if you're talking about 2 A.D., we probably didn't have any computers at that point, particularly in this country yet, so it probably would be wise to say it's 2002. Now, again, if it's 1900 would it say 1980, or we have no idea what they're going to say, obviously it could be a problem, and I'll talk about that a little bit later. But on, specifically on the amendment, if I read it correctly, all you're doing on the amendment is saying as we...as this money is appropriated they can't spend it on anything else. It has to be used...only money can be spent for this purposes until that...that the purposes is taken care of. Is that correct? But my question is after the purpose is taken care of and we've underestimated or overestimated what it would cost and there's dollars left over, say a few million dollars left over, would they then be able to spend it on anything else they wanted to?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Well, in theory, yes, although our intent would be, because of oversight, if we saw that we got into this