

option hold harmless the way it is now would go from...actually we say it's capped at 102 million, but it would be capped at 16.9 million less because the option hold harmless is taken out of that amount? Would that be a correct analogy? Would that be a correct statement?

SENATOR BOHLKE: What...the income tax rebate is capped at 102 million.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Right. But we're taking the 16.9...

SENATOR BOHLKE: Out of that.

SENATOR ROBINSON: So actually the...

SENATOR BOHLKE: You subtract six...

SENATOR ROBINSON: ..the capping would go back 16.9 million, if you...because you're taking that and move it over something else.

SENATOR BOHLKE: And that's the discussion we had on the floor before, that school districts who attract number of students who net out positive will actually benefit twice, because they will get the payment from the income tax rebate, then they will also get their income tax rebate payment. And we were saying on the floor, Senator Brown I think is the one who raised the issue, that, yes, if schools are going to do that and they're attracting students, that they should benefit and we pointed out that schools who were not netting out positive would actually be sending income rebate money to those schools.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Well, if you have schools that don't have very...hardly any option students, you're really...

SENATOR BOHLKE: That's what I said. That's what we explained on the floor, that they will be sending some of their income tax rebate to those schools, but we were saying that's competition and that's the point Senator Brown raised...

SENATOR ROBINSON: That's money...that's money my schools...

SENATOR BOHLKE: ..and that's what District 66...