

districts would have the impact delayed for a year until the Special Ed Accountability Committee (sic--Commission) does come back forward. I have a feeling that they would look at the information we have provided them here with those three different scenarios as far as part of the recommendations they may be considering and I believe the school districts you have with the large number of students that are low spenders, which would be one of the scenarios there, we have pointed out that...what the formula could do for those school districts but we're saying we need to let the Special Ed Accountability Committee (sic) look at that information and come back with their final recommendation, so it would postpone it.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Senator Bohlke. And I...and I think the logic of waiting for the special ed recommendation from the task force is good, is sound reasoning, but I have...I have to agree with Senator Abboud in that, if that makes a lot of sense in the special ed area, then with the things we're doing or that other people are trying to do, even outside the Legislature, with the property tax situation, which will greatly impact the way we finance our schools, then I think...I think that logic should extend. I think this bill is, frankly, quite a bit...it's at least a year ahead of its time. And we've seen examples of why the 1059 formula seems to have some aberrations. It doesn't make sense in some districts, okay, I mean, and there's no explanation that seems to be clearly able to be understood for some of the aberrations. Now, with 1050 I've got a couple of districts, one of which is not in my district but near my town and another one which is in my district, they're both property rich and student poor and one of the districts comes out with 1050 not getting hurt at all, maybe getting helped a little bit. The other one gets hurt to the point that I don't know they'll be able to continue. I do not understand how... You know, anybody that says this is simple, anybody that says this formula...

PRESIDENT ROBAK PRESIDING

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: ..is simple is absolutely not representing things the way they are. I will support the reconsideration motion because I think...I think it's the right policy, even though it's going to hurt some of the districts that were