

the amendment, but as currently in the committee amendment.

SENATOR VRTISKA: The reason I pose the question is because it refers to...or you refer...or we've been referring to some of the causes of the crowding, overcrowding, the over use. And it seems to me, and maybe I don't quite understand, but it seemed to me like some of those same causes could be built on, because apparently it's a continuation of the issue of expanded incarceration. But you're talking about actually the formation of how a building would be constructed and those kinds of things, as opposed to going back to restudy some of the causes, et cetera.

SENATOR LINDSAY: That's...that's what's in the committee amendments...

SENATOR VRTISKA: Okay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: ...is...is the process to start that. What my amendment does is to strike that \$350,000 of planning money to start that process, and have DCS come back and report back those items that are in there, that are shown in the amendment, which is what's causing the prison population to increase, are there alternatives that we can use besides spending the 60 million on the prison, those types of questions.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Okay, well, it just appears that you're on the right track and so I just want to listen to more of what the debate is, and I appreciate your answers. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Maurstad. Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members. Senator Wehrbein had his light on earlier. I am going to, I guess, yield to Senator Wehrbein to explain, if he would, what the 350,000 does. When we get into this, when we get to the Appropriations Committee kind of ozone or twilight zone, or whatever it is, I start to get lost, so maybe Senator Wehrbein can explain exactly what that...what that does.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: All right, thank you, Senator Lindsay. Mr. Speaker, the first, in the first line that I wanted to...that strikes lines 11 through 20, effectively strikes the program that appropriates \$350,000 for the program study. The