

the point I was trying to get to is if you have any idea of what a study might cost, and how much of the previous studies might be able to be utilized in doing a new study? I guess I'm just curious as to what would develop in another study, based on the cost and the use of previous material?

SENATOR LINDSAY: It's actually, the 350,000 is planning money, which is the...Senator Wehrbein probably has his light on, at some point we'll go through that process. So it's actually starting where the consultant would be brought in, would be paid to...to set up everything from the initial design, I guess, or initial process for developing a design, with programming at the new facility with, I assume, some site locations or prospects, but to actually do the planning for a specific facility. So that's why I say the information I had was not correct, and the old study could be used to do that. I don't think it would be as complex, because they have done some fairly recently (inaudible).

SENATOR VRTISKA: Okay. I guess that was the only thing that I was curious about, because I know many times we've done studies on other issues, and time goes by and then a new study is done, and no reference made to some material that might have already been picked up and would be able to utilize it to...for the good of the cause, maybe reduce the cost of a new study. And I was just curious because I'd heard you mention about some of the studies. What you're basically saying is it probably wouldn't be a great deal of use and it would entail probably pretty much a new study in order to determine all the variables that would need to be taken into account. Is that correct?

SENATOR LINDSAY: Well, and I think maybe it's a question of terminology. Rather than a study, this is actually the actual process of commencing to build, almost, I guess, a commitment to start the building process,...

SENATOR VRTISKA: Okay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: ...as opposed to studying the feasibility of whether we need one.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well,...

SENATOR LINDSAY: What I'm talking about is as currently in, not