

time, so there will be people who violate the law, there will be people who are going to have to be locked up. But if we treat these conditions, which if left...

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...untreated, will produce predictable results of this bad behavior, then we're going to constantly build. I want to get us out of the mind-set of believing we can build our way out of it. And Senator Lindsay is saying add a third Quonset hut, when two of them are bad, is going to entrench that mind-set of saying we'll build more and more. Senator Wehrbein wants 480 beds next, and after those are built then he'll want 860, and on and on, and we never address the underlying cause. So that's my position.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Chambers and Senator Bernard-Stevens. Senator Hartnett.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Madam Speaker, I would give my time to Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Hartnett. Senator Bernard-Stevens, was there anything else you wanted to ask me on that, so that...

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: I'm assuming that even if we, by votes on the Appropriations Committee amendments to take away all of the Quonset huts or the modular housing that depends on ones viewpoint of vernacular, that you would not...if the Wehrbein amendment that added the dollars in the future years and says we will build a prison and commit ourselves to do that, you would equally oppose that as well.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Absolutely. And first of all, it's bad legislating, and the court just reminded us that we cannot bind future legislatures. We would not be appropriating that money now. And it's just an exercise in futility, it's a way of stating a position, that's all it would be. And Senator Wehrbein has acknowledged that he would pull the amendment, but he wants the opportunity to give the rationale for why he says, despite agreeing with some things I say about underlying