

SENATOR MAURSTAD: And so on principle, I wouldn't agree to just half of an amount.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm...I'm...I lost you. You don't think that \$3,500,000 ought to be spent...

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Um-huh.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...on the Quonset huts?

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Excuse me, sir.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You don't think \$3,500,000 should be spent on the Quonset huts?

SENATOR MAURSTAD: That's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: They added that to the budget.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: They have.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And you'd rather see that full \$300,500,000 (sic) spent, instead of cutting it in half, which, if you did, you would increase the pressure, it seems to me, on them to do what you want to do.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: By building only one and...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yeah, if you made the shoe pinch...

SENATOR MAURSTAD: ...you'd spend 1,750,000, yeah, but I think that would be in contradiction to my...the original basis for my argument, that being that if we do anything in this area, that it will delay a long-term solution from being developed.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if you do anything at all, it will delay it. Let's take...so for the sake of my argument...

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Anything would be too broad of a term. Let me say if we built any modular homes that I think that it would...or housing, that it would delay it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's what I mean. So let me use the digit 1 to try to make my argument.