

bringing us something which, instead of requiring us to spend something, is rectifying a situation so that we don't have to spend what we thought we might have had to spend otherwise?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Right, we are taking the money that is not going to be necessary to spend, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And what will become of that money that we thought would have to be spent but now doesn't have to be spent?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Well, it's the Appropriations Committee's recommendation that it go into the Cash Reserve Fund.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would it be available for A bills, though,...

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...if necessary, and at the same time, if that money had not been appropriated at three some...three point something million dollars, \$3,506,000 and whatever it was...

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: It was 3.9.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...for the jails,...

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Oh, oh, jail, okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...then that money would be available for other things, too, wouldn't it?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: That's true.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if the Governor should line-item veto some people's pet projects, then money that might have been available may not be available, right?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So, maybe...thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Wehrbein answered the questions that I needed to ask him. It might be wise for us sometimes to think beyond what we're doing today, and forget the political posturing of people in other branches of government, and our attention span should be able to reach from today until the end of this session. And