

Thank you, Senator Wickersham. I was continuing to say that in the first hearing process the word is "may", the people may offer as evidence. In the second one, it says that they shall offer as evidence. Is there a reason why one has to and the other one doesn't have to?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator, if I'm picking up the reference on page 5 that you intend, it would be the one on line 19 and 20, is that the one?

SENATOR HUDKINS: Line 23, I am sorry.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Line 23?

SENATOR HUDKINS: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, Senator, I think that's a good point. You can make them well...you can make them "shall" or you can make them "may". As I recall the discussion on this, it was...the attitude would be to invite any of those organizations to make comments and leave it to their discretion as to whether they wanted to present the testimony or not, and it would seem that those two provisions should be consistent. So I would certainly be agreeable now or on Select File, if that's agreeable to you, to changing the "shall" on 52 to "may".

SENATOR HUDKINS: All right, thank you.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Senator Hudkins. Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Senator Bernard-Stevens, and following along that discussion that Senator Hudkins and Senator Beutler were just having, it appears to me, if I am looking at the right place on page 52, that that "shall" is old language for the...for the DEQ having a hearing on...on point source contamination problem, and we dealt with that "shall" and changed it to a "may" in the sections that we were dealing with in committee, but I don't think we really spent the time on this section to examine that word and perhaps that should be consistent. I think the idea of that section or that language is that if there is information available that is pertinent to