

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: But after this debate today, you're going to be fairly satisfied that that's an appropriate penalty.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: I won't be happy, but I've slowed down a little bit since then.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: But the point still is that you need to understand that the reason...

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: I realize that.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: ...the reason, one of the reasons for the differences are that when you get caught going into Octavia going 21 miles an hour over, which will be 56 miles an hour, which is what the speed limit is today, that's going to cost you \$224.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: I realize that, but I think we need to have the same limit, Senator, across the whole state, whatever it is.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: And that's practical, but not legal, right?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Practical.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Okay. And I think that the body needs to understand what they're going to...I'm going to support the Maurstad amendment because it makes Senator Chambers' amendment better. Now I'm going to listen to the rest of the debate, but the committee did come out with the reasons for having two systems, and particularly that those that wanted to go to 75 wanted stiffer penalties, and that's the purpose the committee put that together, and I think that that's...at least that's the policy I still hear the committee, on the interstate, saying they want to do, I'm not so sure on the two-lane roads. But at the present...at the present time, at least for this amendment, so we can kind of begin to narrow this debate and finish it up, I'll support the Maurstad amendment at this point in time, and then we'll discuss further. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Senator Maurstad. Senator Stuhr.

SENATOR STUHR: Madam Chairman and members of the Legislature, I