

Schellpeper.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Yes, thank you, Madam Chairman and members. I support both amendments also. Senator Chambers, if you could answer me, what is the current fine for 1 to 5?

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Ten dollars.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: How about 6 to 10? You mentioned the other, but didn't mention the first two.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Fifteen dollars.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Fifteen dollars. So, the first one will stay the same as it currently is, but the second one, it would go up \$10.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, it would.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Thank you. I think those are very reasonable increases, so I would support that. I think the main thing we have to remember here is that it's only one fine. I think Senator Chambers is exactly right, we don't need two different fine systems, all we need is one fine system for the fines for whatever speed you are going over. And I don't think \$200 is too much if you're going 21 miles an hour over. I can't imagine going that fast, but I probably do sometimes. But I would support these amendments.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Schellpeper. Senator Engel. Senator Maurstad. Senator Jensen.

SENATOR JENSEN: Thank you, Madam President, members of the body. I would certainly support that all roads should...or not...all roads, with the exception of villages, anyway, and communities should have the same fines. However, I do echo Senator Engel's comments. I think the reason I did support the increase in the speed limits was because I did feel that we could have sufficient fines that would certainly deter people from going over the speed limit. And I like the penalties that the committee came out with and would certainly endorse those, only for all roads. But if we're going to drop the fines, I