

ticket, the \$10 fine isn't that bad. The inconvenience of going to court is probably worse than the fine itself, and the court costs. But, anyway, that's just for what it's worth. I do support Senator Maurstad's amendment to Senator Chambers' amendment. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, I'll support Senator Maurstad's amendment. As I was mentioning to Senator Matzke, over here, I really want a unitary fine system, the same system of fines on all the roads, which is what it is now. What we will be doing, if we adopt Senator Maurstad's amendment, and I'm going to support it, is raising a fine that currently is \$30, on all the roads, to \$75, that more than doubles it. A fine that currently is \$50 we're raising to \$125, again we more than double it. A fine which currently is \$100 will be raised to \$200, which doubles it, and that would be on all of the roads, but it's the same fine schedule. And if the court is going to put in place a waiver system, there should just be one schedule of fines in order for that system to even work. So Senator Maurstad is increasing the penalties, but he's not doing it in the area where a person, through being on the road for a considerable amount of time, could drift from 1 to 9 miles over the limit. When you talk about 85 miles an hour, that sounds like a tremendous speed, but those people who say that aren't on the highway very much. And it's very easy to reach that speed in the cars that exist today, there's not a lot of shimmying or bouncing or weaving on the road because the car is unable to stay on the road. If you move with the flow of traffic now, you're not going to be driving 65 miles an hour, or even 70, depending on the time of day and the day of the week. So I think what Senator Maurstad is offering, I hate to always use the term "compromise", and I seldom use it so I won't use it now, it's based on considering what others have said about wanting a higher penalty than exists now for exceeding the speed limit, but it's looking at the idea that it's not necessary to go as high as what the committee did, especially since we have also raised speed limits on the secondary or the state roads. We will go back to one schedule of fines for all of the roads. So I'm in support of Senator Maurstad's amendment, then obviously I would support the amended amendment.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator