

page 1203 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The Chair recognizes Senator Chambers to open on his amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, I am going to make this as clear as I can, but if in the process of explaining it I confuse you that will be my fault, and I want it clear, so ask me any questions that you have. The first thing I would like you to do is look at the sheet that has three columns. The left-hand column says interstate and state freeways. Those are the roads where we are raising the limit to 75 miles an hour. All the other roads are increasing the speed limit but not up to 75. So in that first column that you see are the fines that exist right now in LB 901. The second or middle column represents the fines that exist right now on all other roads, and even though 901 increases the speed limit on all these other roads, it does not increase the fine. They remain the same. The far right-hand column would be the fine schedule that I would hope you'd accept for all of the roads. Right now we have one schedule of fines for all roads, even though the speed limits differ on those roads. The fine is based on how many miles per hour above the limit that you're traveling, and it's set that way on all the roads. I would keep intact that method of making a determination of how and when a fine is imposed. Since we have raised the limit on all of the highways, I would leave the punishment or the fine the same for the first one to five miles over the limit. I wouldn't change that because a person can drift and do that. When you are six to ten miles an hour over the limit, currently on other roads it is \$15, I would raise it to 25. When you are eleven to fifteen over, the fine currently is \$30, and, remember, this middle column of fines is what exists now for the interstate and all the other highways. If you are sixteen to twenty over, it would raise from 50 to 75 dollars. If you are twenty-one miles and above over the limit, then it's \$100. That would be the fine schedule for all of the roads. What it would do, which is different from what is done by LB 901 right now, is to restore one schedule of fines for all of the highways, and this schedule substantially conforms to the current waiver system put in place by the State Supreme Court. So what is going to happen if you adopt this amendment is we scrap what I consider these exorbitant fines that are put in place on the interstate. We would go back to a single schedule of fines but those fines