

that so let's just put it where it would be. And if you don't want to drive 65, you don't have to. If you're comfortable going 60 mile an hour, go 60 mile an hour, that...or 55, whatever you want to do, but that would be the actual top limit and I think the...that we need to make sure that if you're going 68-69 that you are fined, or whatever you are going over. Then I think you should be...have some fines in there because that's not what we're trying to do is to say that you should have a fudge factor in there and that you'd be able to go 69-70 mile an hour on these highways. But I think you should be able to go 65 and I would support the reconsideration. Thank you.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Schellpeper. Senator Kristensen.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Madam President and members of the Legislature. I rise to oppose the motion to reconsider for the following reasons. One is it is inherently dangerous, much more dangerous to be traveling on these two-lane roads than it is the interstate, and it has not everything to do with paved shoulders, because most of those dangerous accidents occur with head-on collisions with somebody who's about three to four feet away from you who's traveling the opposite direction as fast as you are. Now paved shoulders are of good assistance if you have problems and you have a flat tire. You need to get over to the side to fix that tire, get off the pavement travel. But just because on this map that we have some primary commercial roads designated, those roads are not to those standards. They've been designated, but, for example, the road that Senator Robinson was so concerned about is a primary commercial road and you heard about what terrible condition it was in, how dangerous it was. Just because it has that designation doesn't mean it's up to that standard right now. It is inherently dangerous to be traveling on the two-lane roads. If we're going to set the right speed, we'd probably move it to 50 mile an hour. Now probably everyone would not stand still for that because of convenience, but I can live with a little faster speed in the interstate because if you make a mistake out there you've got another lane of travel, you don't have somebody coming right at you just a few feet away at a high speed, and the interstate is a safer system. Is it still dangerous? Of course, it's dangerous, but relatively-wise those two-lane roads are much more dangerous. If we're going to look at other states, Missouri is at 60, Iowa's at 60, and we propose Nebraska to be