validated and it may not, by the information we get December 1st, but I would like to keep...I would like to keep our feet to the fire, to proceed along this line until we have all of the facts. If we don't insist that a plan be prepared to sell most of the land, 95 percent, then I can assure you it will never happen because there have been years and years that Educational Lands and Funds have had the opportunity to do a plan of any kind and it has not happened. I guess furthermore I want to refresh the body's memory just a little bit on why this bill is here to begin with. In the areas where the concentrations of land are the Educational Lands and Funds do reimburse the school district for the school taxes that are not paid by the land that's involved, but they do not reimburse the local areas for the taxes on ESUs, the property taxes on community colleges, the property taxes for roads from the county or the townships, or the fire protection that's necessary through the fire district levies, none of that is supported by the educational lands that are involved in those areas. So I am still...I'm still pretty adamant that if we had this kind of concentration of school land in our counties, here in eastern Nebraska, which we don't anymore, we would really be adamant about selling most all of it because of the terrific property taxes that we all pay in comparison to what's paid on this land. I don't think it's been fair for a long time that this situation has existed, and I am extremely serious about clarifying it and rectifying it. At this point I just feel that this is just...this is just an effort to prolong and to avoid biting the bullet and selling this land. I think General File vote, it was clear to Board of Educational Lands and Funds that we had a lot of support to do this. So I guess it's a good...it's a good political move to come back and say, well, if there's support there, let's go for just a bite of the apple, or a half bite of the...

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: ...apple and not...not allow it to go forward in the way the bill was drafted and the way the public hearing was held, but let's...let's pare it back to roughly a half of the land. I guess, considering the arguments that were heard on General File, the considerations on property tax, the administrative costs, if we keep half of the land, how much administrative costs are we going to be able to save? I think there we're back into the same problem again, we perpetuate a