

there, the nonprofits out there. All of them have to be part of the solution to this problem. And right now we try too much to go it alone, whether it be the state or the individual agencies or what have you, and the whole idea is to bring people together and include people that were previously not able to participate in some of these programs. So I think we're looking at this very similarly. But I would just ask Senator Jensen to consider not pursuing the amendment because of some of the specific language and certainly want to work with him to try and achieve the goals that he's expressing here. With that, again, I rise in opposition to the Jensen amendment. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. Senator Vrtiska.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Thank you, Madam President, members of the body. I have been supportive of the concept of 1044, but I do have some questions based on some of the things that Senator Jensen brought forth. One of those is I'd like to ask Senator Wesely a question, if I might.

SENATOR WESELY: Yes.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Wesely.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Senator Wesely, you know all through this process we talked on the floor and individually about savings and how they were going to be arrived at. And one of the questions that has been bothering me all the time if in fact we're going to have...normally you talk about efficiency and costs somewhat going together. If you have more efficiency, you should have some savings, it would appear. One of the...one of the things that bothers me is when you talk about the load that some people are having, I understand that. If in fact we're going to be putting more responsibility on...in other words, not have as much duplication, it would appear, it would just appear on the outset that if you eliminate some of the duplication, you would, in a sense, eliminate some of the costs. Doesn't that seem reasonable?

SENATOR WESELY: Yeah, absolutely. Yeah, you would.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well how...then explain to me how that's going to be accomplished? What's...what's... If in fact you're going to cut out some of the duplication and you're going to