

those minors, again, only those that are not convicted as an adult. They did not, however, repeal the portion of the law, that 7...83-176, they did not at the same time repeal that portion that would deal with simply OJS. So if we don't repeal that section, then you've got, in essence, two agencies dealing with the same kids, and that's what we're trying to avoid. This amendment repeals the section that was duplicated that's transferred to OJS but it maintains the portion that deals with the director's ability to...of Corrections to make decisions on those juveniles that are convicted as adults. They will remain in DCS, Department of Corrections, and I checked with the attorneys for both the departments and they are in concurrence that this amendment is proper and it does...it does make sense to do it in this way, and I would ask for its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Bernard-Stevens. Is there discussion of the Bernard-Stevens amendment? Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: I am sorry, Senator Bernard-Stevens. I...I...could you just one more time, what is the intent of change?

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Okay, when you go back on the amendment, if you go back to the Section 83-176, that is not within your bill. That section was duplicated and put into OJS. This simply strikes the portion that is with the minors...repeals that portion that needed to be repealed, but it still maintains the Director of Corrections may designate as a place of confinement of a person committed to the department any available..., all that portion is there, and it also maintains the Department of Corrections ability to deal with youth that are convicted as an adult.

SENATOR WESELY: This is what we talked about last week.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: That is correct.

SENATOR WESELY: No problem, I support the amendment. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Wesely. Is there any further discussion of the Bernard-Stevens amendment? Seeing