

amendment.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Is there any further discussion of the Chambers amendment? Seeing none, Senator Chambers waives closing. The question before you is the adoption of the Chambers amendment to the Bernard-Stevens amendment to LB 1044. All those in favor vote aye; all those opposed vote nay. Please record.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 nays, Madam President, on the adoption of Senator Chambers' amendment.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The Chambers amendment is adopted. We return to a discussion of the Bernard-Stevens amendment. Seeing no further discussion, Senator Bernard-Stevens waives closing. The question before you is the adoption of the Bernard-Stevens amendment to LB 1044. All those in favor vote aye; all those opposed vote nay. Please record.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, Madam President, on the adoption Senator Bernard-Stevens' amendment.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The Bernard-Stevens amendment is adopted.

CLERK: Senator Chambers would move to amend the bill. (See FA489 found on page 1058 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The Chair recognizes Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature. the Clerk has shown us that this amendment is on page 1058, and what it does is quite simple. If you are interested in seeing what the amendment would do, it is found on page 9, and I would strike lines 22 through 24, and this is the language that would be stricken. "Members appointed shall bring distinguished, broad-based leadership, expertise, and diversity to carry out the purposes and functions of the partnership council." The reason I am trying to strike all of those words is because they are subjective. They are susceptible of all kinds of differing and even conflicting interpretation. So rather than create an additional area of controversy, I would just strike those words. If you look at Section 12 of which these words are a part, it talks about...the language talks about the number of members on the council. It also points out that these members will be