

Senator Stuhr is a great person. She's a good senator. She represented her district, and she has every right to do this. Some of you laugh or snickering, but I do sincerely mean this. Senator Stuhr means well and she has every right to do this. But I'm going to be consistent in this. I did not tell her I would vote for it to start with, and I will vote for the reconsider. Does that mean somebody got to me from the lobby? Not a person from the lobby has talked to me. Does that mean a county attorney has convinced me otherwise? No. An issue...on this issue I have been consistent, and I think, for my people, I told them we would deal with water issues, we would do with the tax issues this year and go from there. I do believe in the committee structure. The committee did not vote this out. And I'm a strong person to believe in the committee structure. I think we probably should go by it. Is there a need for it to draw from the committee at times? Yes. If you know the committee is biasedly against you on an issue, on certain issues, then you certainly have the right to pull that. In those situations I will certainly support the person who wishes to draw that bill from committee. I just wanted to explain my voting on this issue. And as far as 943, I am for it. This year, I don't think it's time to go that direction. For now, thank you.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. Senator Schellpeper.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members. I'd like to ask Senator Withem a question if I might. Senator Withem,...

SENATOR COORDSEN: Senator Withem, would you respond please.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Yes, I would.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: How many votes will it take this morning to reconsider?

SPEAKER WITHEM: That's...it's my understanding, we were just visiting about that up there, 25 is my understanding.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Okay. Then if a guy was going to bracket the bill it would take 25 votes also then.