

the fact that you kept them in mind. Thank you.

SENATOR DIERKS: You're very welcome.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you. There are no further lights on. Senator Dierks, would you like to close on your amendment to the amendment?

SENATOR DIERKS: No, I'll waive closing.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Question before the body is, should the amendment...the Dierks amendment to the amendment be adopted? All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Record.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the adoption of Senator Dierks' amendment to the committee amendments.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Dierks amendment is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Senator Chambers would move to amend the committee amendments. (Chambers amendment, FA494, appears on page 1083 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Chambers, on your amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, having been a member of the Ag Committee for I don't know how many years, we kind of have a division of labor. Senator Dierks handles the strictly agricultural issues, in general, because he's the expert there, and in this instance I'll look out after the rights of the individuals who would be involved. If you look in the amendment, the place where I'm going to offer a change is in line 12. I will strike "reasonable" and insert "probable" as the bill was actually introduced. I have, believe it or not, contact with at least one person on the Brand Committee. And I know that the Cattlemen want "probable cause" instead of this "reasonable cause", which could be somewhat vague and uncertain, and it is an amendment that I think should be adopted, and I'm presenting it to you. The idea is that law enforcement people, kless them, so you know I'm not mad at all of them, should have to have probable cause to be stopping these trucks and these vehicles that are pulling. Often a person may be transporting his or her own cattle and not have a certificate