change in the law. If something is already mandatory you cannot make it more mandatory. That does not wash in the law. It does not wash even in good grammar. Either something is mandatory or it's not, but in the law language has a meaning and when language is put into a statute the court is going to give it meaning. If, under the law as it exists right now, every peace officer has a mandatory duty to enforce all provisions of the Rules of the Road and, for the sake of trying to make clear what I'm saying, let's say that there are 100 rules. Enforcing the speed limits is 1 of those 100. So you have a general provision that makes it the mandatory duty of the officer to enforce all of these Rules of the Road. If you leave it alone then that duty to enforce speed limits is mandatory, as well as the duty to enforce the other 99 rules. But then, if you put into that general rule the statement that these officers shall specifically enforce these maximum speed limits, you are distinguishing this rule from the other 99. If, prior to this change, it was mandatory to enforce all 100 but now you have made a distinction between this rule and the other 99, and the distinction is that you seem to be saying this one is mandatory, what you are saying about the other 99 is that they are not because you haven't listed all of them to say that each and every one is mandatory in terms of its being enforced. What I think Senator Kristensen is telling you in his own way is that this committee amendment represents the work of the committee. He is the chairperson and he is defending the best he can the work of the committee, but he has stated correctly that the law will still be enforced if you accept my amendment. Let me pose the question in this way. If the law will continue to be enforced with my amendment, which would strike this language, what harm is done by striking the language? None. There remains a mandatory duty to enforce this law as every other law which is a part of the Rules of the Road. What problem can arise if you don't strike this language? You make every other item that comprises the Rules of the Road that you have not specified in this manner to be mandatorily enforced a discretionary item for the officer. The officer shall enforce these maximum speed limits, but when it comes to overweight trucks, when it comes to reckless driving, any other provision of the Rules of the Road is purely a matter of discretion. Let me make this clear. In enforcing the...

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.