

March 6, 1996

LB 901

meaningless gesture be made that we will skew the general law. And I know when it comes to things like liability Senator Kristensen is reluctant...

SPEAKER WITHEM: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ..to see....

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Kristensen.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. I, Senator Chambers, I want to do this on my own time and if you have questions I know that you'll do it. I probably won't speak again on this amendment unless there are some specific questions. The reason it's in there again is to highlight and, again, spotlight emphasize the fact that we want to enforce speed limits. We put it in there for a reason. I'm going to vote against it primarily because it was part of the package the committee put together. They were comfortable putting it in there. If you agree with Senator Chambers' amendment, the world is not going to cease. Speed limits are still going to be there. They're still going to be enforced. I don't think, and I don't want to mislead you, that taking this out is going to damage the bill in terms of where the speeds are going to be. I do think that it's an emphasis that we place on there. If you choose to support it that's fine. I don't think it damages the bill. I'm not going to because it was part of the committee package and the committee felt that that was important to place that emphasis there and, quite frankly, it will send a message to the State Patrol. Can I force them to enforce things any differently? It's probably like telling the Supreme Court they've got to sign their opinions. It's a nice idea but I may not be able to do it. So, with that, I'm going to vote against it. The body really can choose to treat the amendment any way they choose to and, with that, I'd return any of my time. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker, the reason I'm trying to get as much into the record on this point as I can is because at some future date, to be quite frank with you, I may want to make use of this change in the law. If you put the language in, it is a