

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So you mean, even though I'm indicating that what I'm offering is better than what you offered, you're not going to fight with me about it?

SENATOR COORDSEN: If you and I were going to fight, Senator Chambers, it would most certainly be over something that was much more different than substituting "exceeding the speed limit" for "speeding".

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Coordsen ought to be a dentist because he knows how to pull fangs. That's all that I'll say on this amendment and if anybody has questions then I will answer them in as lengthy a manner as I can.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Chambers. On the Chambers amendment to the committee amendments, Senator Coordsen.

SENATOR COORDSEN: I am correct in my assumption that the Chambers amendment is, in fact, the change from speeding to exceeding the speed limit. Okay.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Mr. Clerk, would you verify that?

CLERK: That's the language, yes, sir.

SENATOR COORDSEN: That's my understanding and I thank Senator Chambers for being much more judicious in the use of the King's English than what a simple country boy, a cob-roller such as I, may be. With that, I support the amendment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Kristensen on the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. I don't think it makes a substantive change. We've had a chance to look at it during the debate and it fits in with the other scheme. You're still going to have to have posted, when you're going to come into these construction zones, you need to have notice that you're in a construction zone and you need to have some notice of how fast you're going to go. That's...otherwise I'm not sure that this is enforceable without that type of notice so, with the language change, it's, if nothing else, tightening up the idea that it's got to be posted. I think Senator Coorussen didn't put the word "posted" speed