

March 6, 1996

LB 1162, 1363

SPEAKER WITHEM: Committee amendments are adopted. Senator Maurstad, to open on your bill.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Thank you, Mr. President. The Chairman of the committee I think did an adequate job of providing what the description of the bill is attempting to accomplish. I would emphasize that this does only deal with second class cities and villages, does not affect first class cities in Nebraska nor the two large metropolitan areas in Nebraska. And primarily, the reason for the bill before you is that the second class cities and villages are not able to comply with the current statute. Would ask that the membership advance the bill to E & R.

SPEAKER WITHEM: You've heard the opening. Any discussion? I see no lights. Senator Maurstad, do you have a closing? Closing is waived. The question is the adoption of the...excuse me, the advancement of LB 1162 to E & R Initial. All in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 28 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the adoption of the motion to advance 1162.

SPEAKER WITHEM: The bill is advanced. I'd like to pause one second here to introduce the Doctor of the Day, Pat Hotovy, from York, sitting under the north balcony, from Senator Stuhr's district. Would you please stand and be recognized. Mr. Clerk, LB 1363.

CLERK: (LB) 1363, Mr. President, introduced by the Urban Affairs Committee and signed by its members. (Read title.) The bill was introduced on January 18 of this year, referred to the Urban Affairs Committee, advanced to General File. I have no amendments to the bill, Mr. President.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Hartnett, to open on the bill.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. Speaker and members of the body, this bill deals with all cities of all classes, classes of cities and villages, and would require a separate statement on the municipal budget to distinguish between the portion of a city budget for a property tax levy which is for a bond cost and the portion which deals with financial general operation. This is kind of the first of the property tax study that we did this