

just.... What is there to resolve? I mean the question is, do you want two people, two entities, one a super agency of which OJS is a part of, and Corrections, both having the same jurisdiction over these juveniles that will be placed? There's nothing to resolve. All we need to do is work an amendment to repeal that language. But my sense is you just don't want to take the time now on Select File to do that now, you'd rather wait until later.

SENATOR WESELY: It's not a matter of me, it's a matter of the agencies involved saying that they would prefer not taking that step at this time.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: But you would agree that we are the legislative policy, and so if we decide it might be prudent to do so, it would be...

SENATOR WESELY: We are the ultimate authority.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Okay, okay.

SENATOR WESELY: That's correct.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: And I'm using your time, so I appreciate that.

SENATOR WESELY: I know, but that's why I punched my light. Are there other questions you might have, or...

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: At this point, those are the areas I wanted to follow through.

SENATOR WESELY: Okay.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: One other question on it. The area dealing with OJS, and I know this is not your area, so I mean I understand that you're the expert in the DPI and Social Services and that's the area that you know the most about. But the deal...the part that's dealing with status offenders, even though it is current legislation within DCS, do you see that opening up any difficulties in regards to where status offenders are kept? Because that's been a big issue in the state, whether they can be put in a facility for...that's nonsecure, or can they...should they be in a nonsecure facility, or, you know, can