

HIV was the, probably the key thing that...

SENATOR WESELY: Well, that's what I think Senator Dierks and I (interruption)...

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: What happens if we keep and, again, I'm trying to work with you on this, I'm not trying to be an obstructionist. What happens if we keep the basic language but put in some type of exception for an interim study that says, those areas dealing with state registries or information on state registries shall not be...this bill will not apply for those areas, and it would be the interim study that would look at those areas rather than just saying we're going to have all confidentially out so, there might be a question of whether or not they could even share because that section was out. Could that be a better way of doing it?

SENATOR WESELY: To tell you frankly, that was the way that I first thought we ought to go, that we ought to...because the idea of sharing information is vital to making this thing work. You've got to have it.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Correct.

SENATOR WESELY: You've got to make it. What we found was because this came to light yesterday, actually came to light with that discussion yesterday, that in the time we had between yesterday and this morning that we thought better just to back off of the whole thing, look at it, and then come back in January with something that's very defined and clear. So...

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Okay.

SENATOR WESELY: But the route you're taking, it is the preferable route and eventually we'll reach it. It's just we didn't have time to (interruption)...

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: If I offered an amendment at some point during debate on this that would do that,...

SENATOR WESELY: I'd be interested in it.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: ...would you be comfortable in working with me on that?