

going about it the wrong way. I would rely on individuals who were involved in these changes, that their expertise and experience and what they can tell us. If they say, no, they don't want to do something, it isn't just because they're recalcitrant or digging their heels in, I'm sure, given the fact that they could lose their job over it, that if they're saying they don't want to do something, they've got good reason to say they don't want it done. So that one doesn't wash. There's really no good reason that I can see, other than Senator Wesely's thing that now we're going to be a mega agency of over 6,000 people, according to the numbers we can raise it to 30. So just because we can, according to him, we should. I was telling Senator Klein, it's like someone going to the mall and seeing something on sale for, you know, \$500 that used to be \$700, and say, oh, I'm going to buy this and save \$200. You're still spending \$500, folks. You know that's what I keep trying to convince my family of, if you spend that money you haven't saved any money, you've spent \$500. And that's "kinda" what you seem to be doing here is just because you can have thirty positions doesn't mean we should necessarily do so, especially when we are "restructuring" this amount of people, over 6,000 people in our largest service agency, the Department of Social Services. And yet you're saying to heck with the service portion of the Department of Social Services, let's hire fifteen more management people because the ones that are in there aren't doing things the way that we want them done. Well, I say there might be good reason there,...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR WITEK: ...I would rather give them the benefit of the doubt. I think they will work with us to restructure, they do understand. These people do understand, they're taxpayers too, that we have to make some changes, and are probably more than willing to work with these individuals. And nobody came to them and said, we're not going to work with you, so they turned around and said, well, let's get somebody who is going to work with us, so let's double this to thirty. I don't think those fifteen people who are in there now, if you ask every single one of them I doubt even one of them said that they wouldn't work this restructuring idea here. And they aren't even using the fifteen positions that they have now, they're using thirteen, possibly fourteen of those positions. So if they're not even to their maximum now, then why do we need to double it. So I hope