

March 4, 1996

LB 901

if we're going to increase the speed that high. I would not support this amendment, would hope that you'd keep the penalty sufficiently high in order to discourage that fudge factor. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Senator Wickersham.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Thank you, Mr. President. And, Senator Kristensen, if you have a moment, I've got some curiosity about what the committee's recommendations amount to, particularly with regard to the fine structure. And I maybe understand why you have two different structures, one for the freeway, and one for off the freeway. But I'm sure we'll have a good discussion of that. What I'm curious about is the fact that, to my knowledge, we don't have any similar fine structure in the statutes now for traffic violations, or do we?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Senator Wickersham, what this has done is statutorily place into effect the waiver schedule that's in effect now, and...

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Okay, and the waiver schedule was developed by the Supreme Court, I think, and adopted...

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Right for...well...

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: ...pursuant to...

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: ...to allow people the convenience of paying...having...

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Yes.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: ...having a sum certain for fines.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Well, and uniformity across the state, I assume.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Yes, I think that's correct.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Okay. Well, Senator, my question really is, can we tell the court that these are the fines? This is neither a maximum, nor a minimum, this is the fine. Have we