

March 4, 1996

LB 952, 1050

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Senator Pedersen. Senator Bohlke, did you have a point at this point, at this moment in time?

SENATOR BOHLKE: Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and members.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Is this...this is a point of personal privilege?

SENATOR BOHLKE: Point of personal privilege, yes,...

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you. Go ahead.

SENATOR BOHLKE: ...to make this announcement that at 8:15 tomorrow morning...I'm sorry to interrupt this discussion. But at 8:15 tomorrow morning there will be a briefing on LB 1050. And at that time we will have the printout explained, the printout available. So if you want to have that copy, tomorrow morning, 8:15, with a briefing and the printout.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Senator Bohlke.

SENATOR BOHLKE: And I will "cc" your office to tell you where, which hearing room. Thank you.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Senator Bohlke. Senator Maurstad, you're recognized, followed by Senators Chambers and Will.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Thank you, Mr. President. Part of the discussion that we need to have, you know, of course there are going to be some changes when you go from the law in the condition that it is now, which provides strict liability for injured victims, to anything other than that. I don't know that there would be a way that you could not have to make some changes that would procedurally cause for an innocent victim to have to go about recovery in a different way. The whole question...one of the questions is, does Nebraska continue to remain the only state that provides strict liability to innocent victims in...in police pursuits, and provide for economic and noneconomic damages that result from that situation? That's the reason for the discussion. I think that it is certainly, regardless of what the outcome is, is something that we need to