

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...there's no crossover between assets and income.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, I just think those people who have assets,...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR VRTISKA: ...but their income is low should not...obviously, should, in my opinion, be considered as a very low income. Are you going to file amendment, Senator Beutler, or do you want me to?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator, I'm willing to put that before the body right now. Either way is fine as far as I'm concerned. It's just a matter of whether you want it more or less restrictive.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, I think...

SENATOR BEUTLER: And wherever the body falls on it, that's fine with me. I just want some kind of reasonable definition.

SENATOR VRTISKA: I agree, I think whatever the body agrees is right, and the way I interpret it, I don't consider it to be the very low income if they have other resources that they could factor on. That's all I'm trying to get at.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Vrtiska. Senator Beutler. Before we get to Senator Beutler, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Senator Beutler would move to amend his amendment. (See FA480 on page 1009 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The Chair recognizes Senator Beutler to open on the amendment to the amendment.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Madam Lieutenant Governor, members of the Legislature, Senator Vrtiska raises the question of whether the words "without regard to other resources" should be deleted so as to take into account the possibility of assets, of someone who has a lot of assets benefiting from this particular bill. So what the amendment does is to drop the words "without regard