

February 29, 1996 LB 1322

have some of the same concerns he does. I wish that maybe there had been some stronger codes or some stronger...or some more efficient inspections when my house was built 25 years ago because I think there were some things that certainly could have been done differently, and I do not want to get to the point where we are penny wise and pound foolish, or pound foolish, or penny...penny wise and pound foolish, I guess is the way it goes. I don't want to be...I don't want us to build houses of such poor quality that in 30 years they've deteriorated to the point where they are nonusable again. I don't think any of us wants to see that, but I think that this task force will be a way to share ideas and maybe come up with some ways that we can reduce costs. With that, I would also mention that I will be happy to support the Cudaback amendment. I think that's an important amendment and I urge your adoption of the Withem amendment.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Senator. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I would like to ask Senator Withem a question.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Senator Withem.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Withem, I have to confess to working on other issues and matters at this time, and your amendment came up a little sooner than I thought it would. You added three members to this group. Is there a specific designation as to which interest each of the other nine must represent in the bill?

SPEAKER WITHEM: There is not. There was not in the original amendment, and I chose not to deal with those nine members, but the additional three that I am adding, I'm proposing that there be a designation.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Is Senator Wesely near?

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Senator Wesely.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What I'm...