

February 29, 1996 LB 1322

a lot of sense to somehow, if we have some type of a building proposal that comes up, that we do, in fact, include in that whole proposition some housing for the low-income people and not just build always for the wealthy. But be that as it may, I want to get back to my primary question is, how do you view that in the long term, what happens after we get all of these facts put together, then what do you think is the next step?

SENATOR WESELY: Well, Senator Vrtiska, there would be no intent on my part at all to add yet another layer on this, that makes no sense. But taking the pieces that are out there and figuring out if there's a way to change those so that you don't have the duplication would be my intent, maybe merging some, that would be something I'd look at. But I don't want to be presumptuous because the study needs to look at this and tell us what they think about it. And I don't want to assume anything, but I can tell you this, if they came back and said we need one more entity, I mean I'd be the first to say, that's nuts, that's not where we want to go.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, I understand what you're saying. I still always have, of course, those "inbuilt" feelings that sometimes when you try to do something that betters government we end up with another layer of government. And when we have another layer of government, we actually drive the cost up and then sort of defeat the purpose that we started out to do. And I guess I understand, I recognize the fact that you're not able to make that measure at this point because you don't know. But I guess my concerns are as we move...if this bill moves ahead and we actually come to that point, I guess is an area where I would be very, very concerned about, in fact, setting up another agency that would oversee this whole program that actually end up putting another layer of government in there that is actually going to cost us all extra state dollars that I would like to see some of these state dollars put down at the local level to relieve some of the property tax that I've been hearing so much about, and I'm sure you have and everybody else has. And certainly not destroy the structure we have but economize and make efficient and not expand. I guess that's the whole issue that I'm concerned about. And so I know that you can't give me a direct answer, but I guess I wanted to get your feeling in order to know, from your perspective, if that was your intent and you would be willing to follow that through...