

Please return to the Chamber. Senator Bernard-Stevens, Senator Brown. Senator Witek, if you could check in. Senator Landis, Senator Wesely. Looking for Senator Brown and Senator Bernard-Stevens. We have found Senator Bernard-Stevens. The senator has authorized us to proceed. Question before the body is the adoption of the Chambers amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Have you all voted who care to vote? A record vote has been requested. Mr. Clerk, would you record the vote, please.

CLERK: (Read record vote. See page 927 of the Legislative Journal.) 12 ayes, 30 nays, Mr. President, on the amendment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: The amendment is not agreed to. Call is raised. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: I have nothing further pending to the resolution at this time, Mr. President.

SPEAKER WITHEM: The matter before the body now then is the advancement of LR 46CA. Senator Kristensen, followed by Senator Chambers.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. Senator Hillman, I guess, given today's discussion and after what I know we've been doing in the Revenue Committee, what I'm more comfortable doing right now is I would rather debate what the Revenue Committee's proposals are for consolidations, mergers, and dealing with some of the different things, and given that then perhaps see how this would fit into that, because I don't want to have two competing measures. And so at this time, will your proposal work with what we're doing? Yes. But I don't want to have two competing things out there. And I...for my purposes I think it's more important to take the Revenue Committee package first. I don't know...if there's a bracket, I just punched my light on so that we would have some discussion. Would you consider a bracket motion, or what would be your preference? I guess mine is a bracket motion until after we discuss this and this proposal in the Revenue Committee. And if you want to amend or change yours, that might be appropriate at that time. I also don't see this.... I'm a little leery, depending on what we come out of Revenue Committee, of putting this onto that one, simply because if the court would split it and require two votes, that could be