

February 23, 1996 LB 1248

on it, it's worth advancing the bill to Select File and see where we are with it and see if it's narrowed down to a concept that is agreeable to everybody, because I don't hear people saying it's just a bad idea, period, except perhaps Senator Warner's remarks could be so interpreted. But everybody else seems to be saying we need to be careful with this concept, we need to draw it up narrowly, and we need to be sure that the experiment is not going to get out of control. And if that, in fact, is what people are saying, then I think that the problems can be addressed and it certainly can be drawn more narrowly than it is, and we can start with a couple of amendments on General File. Thank you.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you. Senator Beutler. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, what has happened in other states when a judgment would come down against a city and the city claimed not to have money, the court ordered that city to sell property. There are ways, under the law right now, to handle these situations. So I want to ask Senator Vrtiska, does Richardson County own any property, buildings, parks?

SENATOR VRTISKA: Yes, they do, yes, they do.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Have they sold any of those?

SENATOR VRTISKA: Not to my knowledge. I understand they're having a sale of some of the equipment that's surplus, that they don't feel that they need, that they have no use for, but that's not a great big deal.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Can they borrow on that property, under the law?

SENATOR VRTISKA: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Vrtiska, there is nothing in this bill that says that one of these political subdivisions cannot create a financial crisis through negligence. So once the crisis is created, then they can come in and ask for this money.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, Senator Chambers, I appreciate...