

February 23, 1996 LB 1248

drafted much too broadly for what...where it may lead, and that is my chief concern. I don't know how it can be drafted at this point without perhaps going into it in quite a bit of detail. But on the other hand, I'm afraid it opens up almost an entitlement in many areas that I do not think the body is prepared to take. And I'm really very, very concerned about that. I would like to ask Senator Vrtiska, in a minute, when he's done, as to if there's any other.... Would you know if there was any other previous incidence happened, say in the previous ten years, that would fall under this jurisdiction? Or have we had anything similar to Richardson County's case that...some other county or local jurisdiction, I guess, be entitled to help under this, the way this is drafted? Have you had a chance to research that?

SENATOR VRTISKA: Senator Wehrbein, the only...we did some research, attempted to do some research to find out if this type of situation had in fact occurred in some other entities. And to my knowledge, when I was able to learn, we have never been able to find any time that a county was forced into a position where they had to go and borrow money to, particularly after they had spent so many dollars of their own, where they had to go and borrow money in order to keep the county solvent.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay, thank you. Well, I'm going to be interested in perhaps if we keep on this, I would be interested in trying to tighten it down much more. And I don't know whether that's going to be very difficult. But I can see the federal mandate really bothers me because I can't foresee where some...I was looking at signage, some signage that was mandated by the federal government here for counties, really some would say is an emergency in one county, not an emergency in another, depending on your size. So there.... As I said, I have no problem if this was drafted...

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: One minute.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: ...specifically for Richardson County. I probably...I think we all would agree that that's legitimate. But carrying it forward the way it's drafted, it looks like to me it opens up quite a bit of other area. I do disagree perhaps with Senator Chambers in his analogy to the death penalty costs, because I think many county attorneys already have to make many decisions now, even on other areas, not just the death penalty,