

February 23, 1996 LB 948

pass on consent as it should pass on consent, and follow our processes, at least until we're under more pressure than we are at the moment, because this kind of thing leaves all logic and argument behind and the session becomes a free-for-all. Thank you.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Madam President, members of the body. Senator Pirsch, just a couple of questions for my clarification and...

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Pirsch, will you yield for a question?

SENATOR PIRSCH: Surely.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Senator Pirsch, on consent calendar, I mean, is it, is it on the list on consent calendar that you're aware of, or has it just been recommended for consent calendar?

SENATOR PIRSCH: I have no idea. Senator Landis could answer that. And he's not here.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Okay, I'll...I'll ask Senator Landis a little bit later.

SENATOR PIRSCH: I wish he were.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: My point being that, you know, I don't know how many times we'll get back to a consent calendar this year. I have no idea if there'll be one or two more times, if it's at the bottom or not. What I will, I will approach it maybe a little bit different than Senator Beutler. Senator Beutler, I think, would rather us just vote no on returning and then Final...vote on Final Reading of the bill. I must have...I probably have misfiled or something, but I had no idea the amendment was coming. It was probably just my fault for not checking the Journal well. I would, I would suggest the following, that I might be able to, if you concur, put a friendly kill motion to lay it over for a day just to give us the chance to look at it, and if it is truly noncontroversial, I don't have a difficulty doing it, but I would like to just have a momentary time period, you know, this weekend, to look through