

for minors, that they wanted a uniform law. And in the...and I'm passing out to you the language from the federal law that was in my bill, in my original bill that came through Judiciary. And it is titled the "Jacob Wetterling Crimes Against Children and Sexually Violent Offender Registration Act". For quite some time this is the language that states have been adopting, and the most uniform language in the United States. It is just words on the crimes against children, but if it helps people put into context what we're trying to do here with this piece of legislation and the reason that these specific terms have been used, to make a more uniform law, to make it a better law across the United States, then I hope you'll look through this, read through the definitions, read through the law that was in my...in my piece of legislation. Senator Abboud's bill came out of the Judiciary Committee. The Judiciary Committee killed both of the other bills, my bill and Senator Wesely's bill. Senator Abboud has been kind enough to allow us to amend some of the language from our law into his. So we're already compromising some of the language of the Jacob Wetterling bill. But we thought that we had come to an excellent agreement with Senator Abboud and members of his staff in putting together the amendment that was adopted on 645, so it was, at the very beginning when we adopted that amendment, in very good shape to coincide with the federal law and the federal guidelines, not necessarily to comply with the monies, although it will and does. And it's the big omnibus crime bill that you heard about a couple years ago that came through, this was part of it, but not for that reason. The reason was to have the most comprehensive bill that has been passed in many, many states, here, since this language came out, and it came out to deal with this specific crime. And since that time every state has been adopting this language. So to start tinkering, although I understand people wanting to adopt the Beutler language, and I stood back and didn't comment on it, because I know that we have several lawyers on the floor who tend to be more technical in nature, and sometimes that's good, and sometimes it takes up an awful lot of the discussion. When other states have already adopted this language without any problems of constitutionality or anything else, it's already being used in their courtroom situations, it's already being used in their prison releases, it's already being done, but if this makes you feel better about adopting 645, that's fine. I will not change the original language because I have worked so much on this bill, and I know that the original language, with the adoption of my amendment,