

February 22, 1996 LB 645

been in the body, and I don't agree at the current time because it does not allow for the flexibility that we need to have, and each state needs to have when dealing with criminal offenses. And I think it's a travesty whenever the federal government does mandate these things upon us. But, on the other hand, they provide us with some funding to carry on some of our programs, and we will lose federal funds at a time in which we...at a time in which we need them. So those are the options that you're looking at. This particular amendment, I think, kind of straddles the line, and I'm going to go ahead and support the amendment. And it's my hope that this will, in effect, keep us in compliance with the federal government, but provide us with the latitude that we need, that our state judges need in dealing with these types of offenders. And I'm hopeful that Senator Chambers will, in effect, agree to this compromise that was drafted by Senator Beutler in trying to come to terms with this disagreement on how we handle the problems involving kidnapping of a minor when it's not committed by a parent, and false imprisonment of a minor when it's not committed by a parent. And it's my belief that we will have our state court judges out there to properly interpret this law and put in the individuals that commit these types of offenses against our children and keep them registered, and my belief is that the state district court judges will do the right things in these matters. So I'm going to be supporting the amendment at this time. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Abboud. Senator Chambers, followed by Senator Witek.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, Senator Beutler has drafted an amendment which will accomplish what I was trying to do in the approach that I took. When I said that if my language is not adopted I'll do everything to stop the bill, I didn't realize that Senator Beutler had already come up with such a good amendment. And if his amendment is adopted it achieves the same purpose. It does deal with the question of the procedure by which a determination is to be made regarding whether these offenses were committed for a purpose with an intent that would bring the perpetrator within the reach of this sexual offender registration law. If a judge is allowed, as he or she would be allowed to do under Senator Beutler's amendment, to review the facts of the case and make a determination that A kidnapped B to try to get some money, that would not make the person eligible for consideration for