

this language that we have in 645 at this time is the exact same language that's required under the federal law. It's already been adopted in many, many states. We are one of three states who have not adopted the Sex Offender Registration Act. So this language has already been in, in action in other states. The fact that Senator Chambers comes in and puts in another road block to prove sexual purpose or intent in these situations, what if, what if it, as in the Cutshall case, the child...the only thing they could prove was kidnapping. When this individual gets out of prison, even though an individual in this case might have a history of some of these problems or during their stay in prison they have found out that they do have a lot of problems in these areas, they will, they will just walk. This language also...when you're talking about a sexual intent or purpose, what are you talking about here? Does it have to be just someone who has injured or tortured an individual in an area that, of your body that is used for reproduction? Is this what it is? Is this what we're defining here? Or maybe, you know, sex can be described as many, many different acts and we could discuss a whole laundry list of them here. And every single one of them will put just one more road block up about a sexual intent or purpose. What if they kidnap a child and just cut them once at a time until they bleed to death or slice them from their...their neck down to their gut and throw their beer cans inside of them? What if that's what they do but they never sexually harm that child? Just because they have used the sex offender language to discern between a violent sex offender who is in the prison for those purposes, and the sex offender language on other areas of pornography, et cetera, does not mean that kidnapping and injuring a child...and just because that's the name of the act does not mean that kidnapping and injuring a child, if you want to put kidnapping and injuring and we can, we can check with people who have, who have more knowledge about the federal language and see how that affects it, you know. I'm fine with doing something like that. I understand your concern. You want to narrow this to as few people as possible, Senator Chambers, because I've seen you work for the last four years, I know how you work. You want to get as few people involved in this piece of legislation or subject to this legislation as possible. I would rather err on the side of safety of my children, that's what I'm saying when I come up here, instead of erring on the side of letting someone go because I talked to these guys who work with a lot of these people in prison and they tell me that they injure or harm 200 to 300 children before