

February 21, 1996 LB 1205

permit the board to continue to receive land that people may want to bequeath to the state on condition that it be in the same status that we call these educational lands now? Or is that to be done away with, and if a person doesn't want to bequeath land to the state or give it as a gift to the state, in accord with what you all are attempting to do in getting rid of these types of lands, that land will not be accepted by the state? Would the state, in other words, say you can only give it to the state under these conditions--if you're giving it to the state would be equivalent to an educational land fund type thing, educational land type situation, that land will not be accepted by the state? Is that what we're heading for since the board cannot have any...

SENATOR EROMM: Could you narrow that question down a little bit? Just...I heard two or three questions there.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR BROMM: Could you repeat what you want me to answer, please.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Are you looking for the day to come ultimately when there will be no more of these lands held by the state, no more of these types of land?

SENATOR BROMM: I would not say that I would...that there would be no more of this kind of land until we get the respective reports that we'll get by the end of the year. The bill and the committee amendments do not prohibit the state from accepting a bequest or a devise of land to the state or the school lands organization.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right, that's what the amendment says. But I'm looking at your ultimate goal from what I see in the green copy of the bill, and that was saying that land would have to be gotten rid of within three years, if the board got it.

SENATOR BROMM: Right, and that's no longer in the bill. And I...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But that was...

SENATOR BROMM: ...I think the focus has shifted, to some