

February 21, 1996 LB 1205

original green copy of the bill. I did feel that perhaps that the plan that was developed by Educational Lands and Funds would take that into consideration. And I agree that it is a constitutional provision that we'd have to comply with. But in any event, so that there's no misunderstanding or misinterpretation of the legislation, I support the Wickersham amendment and hope the body would adopt it. Thank you.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you. Further discussion on the Wickersham amendment? Seeing none, Senator Wickersham, to close. Waives closing. The question is the adoption of the Wickersham amendment to the committee amendments on LB 1205. All in favor vote aye, opposed no. We're voting on the Wickersham amendment. Have you all voted? Record please.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Madam President, on the adoption of Senator Wickersham's amendment.

SENATOR CROSBY: The amendment is adopted. Before the next item I would like to call the Legislature's attention, Senator Robak announces that Dr. Ron Klutman of Columbus is our family Doctor of the Day today. He is under the north balcony, I believe. Dr. Klutman? Well, he was. At any rate, we do have a Doctor of the Day. So, Senator Withem, if you need a doctor, he's here. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Madam President, Senators Withem and Wesely would move to amend the committee amendments. (FA446 is found on page 841 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Withem.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Yes, Madam President and members of the body, the amendment that I am offering would indicate that the, prior to the sale of the lands, the Legislature would have to grant specific approval. As I first read this bill, really didn't have a lot of problems with it because it appeared to me as though we were just telling the Board of Educational Lands and Funds to go forth and develop a plan for selling the lands, and maybe that was what was needed to get this issue off of dead center. This issue has been on dead center ever since I've been in the Legislature and I've been on both sides of the issue. And I thought maybe that plan was a good idea. But as I read the bill more specifically, the bill in its current form is