

February 14, 1996 LB 952

societal good and an innocent third party is harmed, it's up to the society to make that innocent person whole and that's what the present law does by injecting the driver, the person running, by the way, the city has recovered judgments against some of these drivers, but since they have no money, they are judgment proof, so by putting them in the bill as a source of recovery for the innocent third party is one of the most cynical, vicious types of things that could be done to mislead the Legislature. But at any rate, the society should make whole those innocent people who are hurt as a result of the carrying-out of a societal policy. It was interesting that Police Chief Casady from Lincoln testified. While agreeing that innocent third parties should be compensated, he spoke for Senator Maurstad's bill in principle, although he didn't go into all the details, but he acknowledged that there has never been a claim under the present law against Lincoln. So what Omaha has gone out and done is rounded up people to pretend that this is such a pernicious law. My feeling is different from what Senator Lindsay indicated in terms of...

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...the relatively few number of claims. If there was a claim every day, I still think the law should be there because the vast majority of these chases result from some minor traffic infraction. A police officer was injured last year by chasing a car through an intersection, the driver got through, the policeman ran into a bus and was injured, and do you know how they caught the driver? Through his license plate number which they got before the chase even started. These chases are irresponsible. They create a danger to the public, but since they are going to continue, we should make sure there is a provision in law which allows innocent third parties to be compensated when they are injured as a result of these police chases. I am opposed to this motion.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Hilgert.

SENATOR HILGERT: Thank you, Speaker, members. I rise to oppose this motion, and I have several points here, one that already exists, a cap on the recovery, so this isn't an open-ended concept to the cities, the governmental units. Two, and Senator Chambers brought this up, is that they can already recover from the fleeing party, and as he mentioned that the recovery from