

February 14, 1996 LB 1050

plus they will continue to get their share of the income tax rebate. Who would lose in that would be those students...those school districts who may be on the negative side, who because they're sharing some of their income tax rebate with those schools who attract students. This came from the discussion and the philosophy that that makes a great deal of sense. If you have school districts who are having students and parents choice their kids in, you must be doing something that's positive with your curriculum and certainly attracting those students to your school. If you are unable to do that, then perhaps you should be looking at what is going on in your school district that you are not meeting that same competitive edge and attracting those students to your school. So this recognizes that and says, in a way, gives them that increase payment once again that they had been realizing for those students. And with that, that's where we ended yesterday. I think it's...I support, support this amendment. I think you heard a number of people get up and speak to the fact that they also support the amendment. And I am ready to answer any questions you may have.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Bohlke. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Senator, I had neglected to reference your amendment to this amendment. Were you talking about that now? You were, okay. That amendment, just for the membership's information, is found on page 785 of the Journal.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: We are on the amendment to the committee amendments to LE 1050. Senator Janssen.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Thank you, Madam President and members of the body. A few questions of Senator Bohlke,...

PRESIDENT POBAK: Senator Bohlke.

SENATOR JANSSEN: ...if I could. Senator Bohlke,...

SENATOR BOHLKE: Yes.

SENATOR JANSSEN: ...the money that you say would be coming out of the equalization,...

SENATOR BOHLKE: The income tax rebate.