

February 13, 1996 LB 349

SENATOR STUHR: Well I'm not denying the fact that we need to recognize equity. I'm just looking at the formula and wondering if we can't have some modification and including other factors. I believe Missouri, can you comment on Missouri? I think they use income, definitely, much more than we do.

SENATOR BOHLKE: And, Senator Stuhr, you know that I introduced a bill this year that would change and would go to one tier, where then you would weight and factor, by a number of those things, income being one, that is a different way of doing it. Kansas is the only one that I...or Missouri, I mean, is the only one, I misspoke. Missouri is the only one that does it according to 349. There are other ways, an attempt, I think New York and a couple of other states, where they have looked at income in the formula, there has been very little actually done and accomplished.

SENATOR STUHR: Okay.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Time.

SENATOR STUHR: Thank you.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Senator Stuhr. Senator Robak. Excuse me, the Clerk has an announcement first.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Yes, one announcement, Mr. President. Senator Dierks would like to convene a meeting of the Agriculture Committee in Executive Session, in Room 2102 at the present time. That's the Agriculture Committee in Room 2102.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Mr. Clerk. Senator Robak.

SENATOR ROBAK: Thank you, Madam (sic) Speaker, members of the body. I'd like to respond to some of the comments made recently. One is it's the old Warren Buffett moving to somebody's district syndrome, where we have somebody that won the lottery and somebody that has a million dollars is going to move in Senator McKenzie's district. I doubt that that would happen. But if they would move in there, it probably would be good for the community. I mean just think of all the spending they would get to do in there. And I think that...media attention even would do it good. And I really don't think