

February 8, 1996 LB 1072

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, I will. I'm sorry, Senator Pirsch, would you repeat it.

SENATOR PIRSCH: It shows expenditures for a salary at forty-six thousand, and then, of course, there's benefits and so forth, which is fifty-six thousand. And, you know, what are we creating here? What post or what employee are we adding? Are we adding an employee in the Labor Department with this bill?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Senator Pirsch, I would like to try to find out the answer to that.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Okay.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I don't know.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Okay.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Oh, it does say that...it looks like there are partial positions for several different people, is what it looks like from the fiscal note. But let me get you a definitive answer to that.

SENATOR PIRSCH: It also says this change was requested by the state auditor so the statute conforms with the practice of the NDOL, that's the National Department of Labor. So...well, okay, if you can't answer that. I just wondered what we were, what we're adding. Thank you.

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Vrtiska, there are no other lights except yours, would you like to close?

SENATOR VRTISKA: The only thing I wanted to answer Senator Pirsch's question, that is that they have to do with the money that's already there and there's no new money that's added, it's just a matter of them using the money that's already for start up. And then, of course, as indicates there'd be a minimal amount of continuing costs. And so there's no new money that's being put into this. The money is there that they're going to be starting up the process with. So that's the answer to the question as near as I can.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Vrtiska. You've heard the