

February 8, 1996 LB 921, 1122

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Well, if I'm understanding the question right, Senator, the property in the first county would have to be sold, first of all. And, of course, the taxes due or owing at that point in time would be handled in the same manner that any closing would be handled normally.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Okay. That's the part I want to make sure. In other words, it would go...the homestead exemption at that point would become null and you would assess whatever part of taxes was left on it, correct?

SENATOR MAURSTAD: That's correct.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Okay. That, I just wanted to make sure that I understood that. Thank you very much.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Vrtiska. Is there any further discussion on the advancement of LB 921? Seeing none, Senator Maurstad to close. Closing is waived. The question before you is the advancement of LB 921. All those in favor vote aye; all those opposed vote nay. Please record.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays on the motion to advance the bill.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: LB 921 advances. LB 1122.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Madam President, 1122 was introduced by Senators Warner and Hudkins. (Read title.) The bill was read for the first time on January 8 of this year. Referred to the Revenue Committee. That committee reports that bill to General File with committee amendments attached. (See AM2792 found on page 533 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The Chair recognizes Senator Warner to open on the committee amendments.

SENATOR WARNER: Madam President, members of the Legislature, this is a subject that we've had rather consistently for the last three, four years, off and on. And I would hope maybe this will, will end it. It deals with the issue of tax exempt property, real property, in which the individuals who had a responsibility to file the affidavit every four years as to the continued same use of the property for a variety of reasons, was