

quite thoroughly. And I would say only this to you. If truly in your mind you believe as a matter of fairness Game and Parks should do other than they do in all situations and pay 50-50, if you think that what they should do in this situation, as a matter of fairness, is pay all of the fence costs now and forever, then go ahead and vote for the amendment. But I just hope that I can overcome eventually the antipathy in this body, among an element of this body, with respect to trail development, because I think it's a very positive thing and I hope you will not go along with this amendment unless you truly feel that this is a matter of fairness for the particular landowner, that he or she should pay nothing at all and leave it with you at that. Thank you.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Pirsch.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Thank you, Senator Crosby. I do have a question of Senator Coordsen, if he would yield.

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Coordsen, will you yield to a question?

SENATOR COORDSEN: Yes, Senator Pirsch.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Senator Coordsen, I voted to bring the bill back for amendment, but I assumed that your amendment was just line...the last part of line 10 through line 13, which talked about after the commission completes such construction.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Actually, my amendment, Senator Pirsch, was from line 7 through 13 because I felt that that was covered under the other section of statute that I read.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Well, if you start with line 7, though, you take out that the commission shall have the sole responsibility for the construction of new fences appropriate for the use of adjoining lands on the date of acquisition or for the repair of existing fences. I am not sure you want to do that, do you?

SENATOR COORDSEN: Well, that would leave the issue, if that language was left, that would leave the issue as it currently is. The objection was to the...after the period (.) on 10 through the end of 13.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Right, right.