

and your neighbor was responsible for the other half, which would be the right half when he was fencing it. Now I see, as a result of the amendment to 584, that while the Game Commission will have the sole responsibility to construct new fences appropriate for the use of adjoining...appropriate for the use of adjoining lands, which is a departure, on the date of acquisition, or for the repair of existing fences, and I see no standards there. And after the commission completes such construction or repair of fences, adjoining landowners shall have the sole responsibility for fence maintenance and repair. What this amendment does, ladies and gentlemen, is moves even a step beyond our standard fence law in that when a trail goes by your property, and the issue of trails isn't an issue, all of a sudden instead of having the railroad having the sole responsibility, or in the case of the other division fences a shared responsibility with your neighbor, it appears to me that the landowner who is unfortunate enough to have a railroad property that's converted to trails and comes under the auspices of the Game Commission would all of a sudden have the sole responsibility for fence maintenance and repair. What that means is all of the costs after the fence was put into some kind of shape that the Game Commission apparently deems to be appropriate, I see no reference to existing law on fences...Madam President, how much time do I have left?

SENATOR CROSBY: You have about five minutes.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Okay. Senator Wickersham, would you respond to a question?

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Wickersham.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Yes.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Now from the Journal it's my understanding that this was your amendment...

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: That...

SENATOR COORDSEN: ...to the fifth committee amendment? Is that right?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Well, okay. Senator, the provisions that you're asking about originated in LB 258 that I sponsored. I'm