

other states, for reasons of beefing up the charitable community betterment organizations in the state of Nebraska, or for giving money to the communities or to the state, itself. So those are the things that would be there for us. But, again, it is not anything that would...that would occur automatically, and I think it is important to bear in mind when you look at the history of these issues in the state of Nebraska that the Legislature has proceeded extremely cautiously, even given the authorization. You know, in the case of the state lottery, which is a very...I think it is a very, I use the word, mild form of gambling, the Legislature proceeded extremely carefully; in fact, passing a constitutional amendment and legislation, and then coming back and repassing the legislation to make sure that the types of games that were being implemented were those that the body is comfortable with. So I think it's important that you don't get in the mind-set that, boy, if this LR would pass, if the people approve it, then, all of a sudden all the...we are going to have, you know, casinos everywhere because, again, it would be up to the Legislature, and, again, this is a Legislature that historically has proceeded extremely cautiously. So that is...that is essential, I think, to bear in mind when we are talking about this. The other thing that I wanted to point out is when this was debated last week, I heard the argument from several different members of the body that my constituents elected me to go down there and make these decisions, and that was used as an argument against putting this on the ballot. But the fact is, as Senator Schellpeper pointed out, we can't make the decision right now with the types of games that are...would be recognized under LR 43 because we have the Attorney General Opinions that indicate that that is not an option that is open statutorily at this point. So that is not a good argument. The argument should be, let the people decide if this is something...if this is a tool that they want to give the Legislature. The people aren't deciding on that this is a completely expanded gambling. They are saying, State Legislature, the way this is drafted, State Legislature, you have the option now. We've approved this constitutional amendment and you have the option of implementing one or more of these types of games and determining if that's what's in the best interest of the state. That's the point at which you exercise your representative function and in determining structure of gaming if... or no expansion whatsoever. All LR 43 does is gives the voters an opportunity to give us that option, that's all. So I would...