

February 5, 1996 LB 754

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then maybe, if Senator Bohlke would not object, we could just strike "otherwise qualified" and put in "all other respects qualified".

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Senator Chambers, that would work for me. I just filed an amendment to mine that would simply end up striking the portion that says and otherwise qualified. I don't care if we, if there's some language that we can...

SENATOR COORDSEN: Time.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: ...we can amendment that, that would be fine.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Mr. Clerk, an amendment to the amendment.

CLERK: Senator Bernard-Stevens would move to amend his amendment. (See FA430 on page 704 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR COORDSEN: Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you. What this amendment would do, and Senator McKenzie brought up the, actually came up with the idea so I don't want to take credit for it, it would simply, it would end up changing my amendment so that the only thing that would be stricken would be on line 7, "and otherwise qualified." That would, that's the portion that is, is not the same as what we have down below in Section 8. Section 8, in already the law, we have applicant is of sound mental, physical health and of good moral character and a statement countersigned by an authorized official of a standard institution, and so on, and we have all of that in Section 8. The only thing that's not included there is that's the addition "and otherwise qualified." I'm not sure, I know what my intent would be, I'm not sure what somebody else's intent would be in interpreting otherwise qualified. This amendment would simply strike those three words, would change my amendment so only those three words would be stricken, "and otherwise qualified".

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Senator Bernard-Stevens. I have a list of people, if you want to speak to the Bernard-Stevens amendment to the amendment. Senator McKenzie.